

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
MONDAY, MARCH 24, 2003
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S114411B164892 Second Appellate District,
Division EightALCATEL INTERNETWORKING v. S.C. (SOKOL)
Petition ordered withdrawn**S113187**A093974 First Appellate District,
Division ThreeAMERICAN HOME ASSURANCE v. SOCIETE
COMMERCIALE
Time extended to grant or deny review

to April 25, 2003

S113471A097903 First Appellate District,
Division FiveWIMS v. CHEVRON U.S.A.
Time extended to grant or deny review

to May 8, 2003

S033360PEOPLE v. WALLACE (KEONE)
Extension of time granted

to May 23, 2003 to file respondent's brief.
After that date, only two further extensions
totaling about 120 additional days are
contemplated. Extension is granted based
upon Deputy Attorney General Allison Elle
Aleman's representation that she anticipates
filing that brief by 9/16/2003.

S062259PEOPLE v. SCULLY (ROBERT W.)
Extension of time granted

to May 23, 2003 to appellant to request
correction of the record. The court anticipates
that after date, only three further extension
totaling 180 additional days will be granted.
Counsel is ordered to inform the Sonoma
County Superior Court and his or her assisting
attorney or entity, if any, and any assisting
attorney or entity of any separate counsel of
record, of this schedule, and to take all steps
necessary to meet it.
Counsel for appellant is ordered to serve a
copy of the record correction motion on this
court upon its filing in the superior court.

S065707PEOPLE v. PAGE (TERRANCE C.)
Extension of time granted

to May 23, 2003 to file appellant's opening brief. The court anticipates that after date, only one further extension totaling 60 additional days will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform his or her assisting attorney or entity, if any, and any assisting attorney or entity of any separate counsel of record, of this schedule, and to take all steps necessary to meet it.

S070686PEOPLE v. ROMERO (GERARDO)
Extension of time granted

to May 19, 2003 to file appellant's opening brief. The court anticipates that after that date, only three further extensions totaling 180 additional days will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform his or her assisting attorney or entity, if any, and any assisting attorney or entity of any separate counsel of record, of this schedule, and to take all steps necessary to meet it.

S104807COFFMAN (CYNTHIA L.) ON H.C.
Extension of time granted

to April 16, 2003 to file the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 60 additional days will be granted. Extension is granted based upon counsel Jeannie R. Sternberg's representation that she anticipates filing that document by 6/15/2003.

S106273B143771 Second Appellate District,
Division SevenPEOPLE v. SEEL
Extension of time granted

to April 25, 2003 to file respondent's answer brief on the merits.
No further extensions of time will be granted.

S112862B152928 Second Appellate District,
Division OneGRAHAM et al. v. DAIMLER CHRYSLER CORP.
Extension of time grantedto April 20, 2003 to file appellants' opening
brief on the merits.**S113885**E033182 Fourth Appellate District,
Division TwoHERNANDEZ v. S.C. (PEOPLE)
Extension of time grantedto April 1, 2003 to file real party in interest's
answer to petition for review.**S024599**PEOPLE v. JONES (MICHAEL LAMONT)
Order filedThe request of appellant for permission to be
represented by two counsel at oral argument is
granted.**S107355**A096012 First Appellate District,
Division FiveLAMUSGA, MARRIAGE OF
Order filedThe "Application for 30-day Extension to File
Amici Curiae Brief" filed March 17, 2003, is
denied without prejudice to the filing, on or
before April 22, 2003, of an application to file
an amici curiae brief that complies with Rule
29.1(f), including that the proposed brief
accompany the application.**S008112**PEOPLE v. HALVORSEN
Order filedThe application of appellant for leave to file
opening brief longer than 280 pages is
granted.**S114407**RUSS v. S.C. (PEOPLE)
Transferred to CA, 4th Dist., Div. 2for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior
Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767.